



Agenzia per l'Italia Digitale
Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri



REALIZATION OF A RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
PROJECT (PRE-COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT) ON
“CLOUD FOR EUROPE”

MINUTES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE: PROVISIONAL AWARDING OF PHASE I FOR LOTS 2 AND 3

SESSION OF 15TH JULY 2015

TENDER NUMBER <5843932>
CUP <C58I13000210006>

CLOUD FOR EUROPE
FP7-610650

This project has received funding from the European Union's
Seventh Framework Program for research, technological development
and demonstration under grant agreement no 610650.



Table of Contents

PRELIMINARY PROCEDURAL MATTERS	4
1 TERMS OF REFERENCE.....	4
2 EVALUATION PROCESS ON PHASE I: PREVIOUS STEPS	4
3 EVALUATION PROCESS ON PHASE I: FINALISATION	6
4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFERS.....	7
5 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER	7
5.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV).....	8
5.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)	8
5.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP).....	9
6 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE <i>ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING</i> (IF PRESENT).....	9
7 EVALUATION OF THE TOTAL OFFER.....	10
8 FINAL SCORE	10
PUBLIC SESSION OF THE FINAL EVALUATION ON PHASE 1 FOR LOTS 2 AND 3 – 15TH JULY 2015 ...	11
9 OPENING OF THE SESSION AND ATTENDANCE REGISTER	11
10 ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS	12
11 EVALUATIONS PER BID – LOT 3	13
11.1 BID 3.1 – BP DELIVERY BV	13
11.1.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	13
11.2 BID 3.3 – SIELTE SPA'S TGO	15
11.2.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	15
11.2.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	17
11.2.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE <i>ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING</i>	18

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee
For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

11.3	BID 3.4 – NTT DATA ITALIA SPA’S TGO	18
11.3.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	18
11.3.2	EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	19
11.3.3	VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING.....	20
12	EVALUATION OF THE TOTAL OFFER – LOT 3.....	21
13	FINAL CLASSIFICATION – LOT 3.....	21
14	EVALUATION PER BID – LOT 2	21
14.1	BID 2.1 – CYBERNETICA AS	22
14.1.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	22
14.2	BID 2.2 – INTERWAY SRO’S TGO	23
14.2.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	23
14.2.2	EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	25
14.2.3	VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING.....	26
14.3	BID 2.3 – SIELTE SPA’S TGO	26
14.3.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	26
14.3.2	EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	28
14.3.3	VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING.....	28
14.4	BID 2.4 – NEC ITALIA SPA	29
14.4.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	29
14.5	BID 2.5 – HEWLETT PACKARD ITALIANA SRL’S TGO	30
14.5.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	30
14.5.2	EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	32
14.5.3	VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING.....	33
14.6	BID 2.6 – IBM ITALIA SPA	33
14.6.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	33
14.6.2	EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	34

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

14.6.3	VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING.....	35
14.7	BID 2.7 – NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO	35
14.7.1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER.....	35
14.7.2	EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER.....	37
14.7.3	VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING.....	38
15	EVALUATION OF THE TOTAL OFFER – LOT 2.....	38
16	FINAL CASSIFICATION – LOT 2.....	39
17	PROVISIONAL AWARDING, EXCLUSIONS AND CONCLUSION OF THE SESSION	39
	ANNEX 1 – ESTIMED FULL PRICE (EFP).....	43
	ANNEX 2 - BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP).....	43

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

PRELIMINARY PROCEDURAL MATTERS

1 TERMS OF REFERENCE

Under Section 13 of the *Tender Regulation - Annex I to Contract Notice n. 2014/S 241-424518 – version 21-02-2015 amendment* (hereinafter TR), the Lead Contracting Authority (Agenzia per l'Italia Digitale, AgID in the following) appoints:

“▪ An **Administrative Committee** for the selection of requests to participate or tenders based on exclusion and selection criteria. The Administrative Committee is composed by an odd number of evaluators, experts in procurement law, whereby each Contracting Authority listed in Paragraph 1.3 is entitled to appoint a national expert. At least one expert in Italian procurement law should compose this Committee. Evaluators shall open requests to participate and tenders. Only requests to participate or tenders that satisfy the provided requirements that are not excluded based on the exclusion criteria and that meet the selection criteria, shall be considered admissible for evaluation under the award criteria.

▪ **Technical Committees** for the evaluation of tenders based on the award criteria (one Technical Committee for each lot). Each technical committee is composed by an odd number of evaluators, experts in the specific field covered by the scope of the contract, whereby each Contracting Authority is entitled to appoint a national expert per lot.”

The Administrative Committee has been established with the Determinazione n. 84/2015, 20th March 2015 of the General Director of AgID and then amended with the Determinazione n. 85/2015, 24th March 2015.

The composition of the Technical Committees has been proposed during the *General Assembly of Cloud for Europe Project*, which took place in Porto on March 18-19, 2015. Afterwards the Technical Committees have been appointed by Determinazione n. 118/2015, 21th April 2015 of the General Director of AgID, and then amended with the Determinazione n. 143/2015, 29th April 2015.

About the right to access to the technical offer the Paragraph 1.3 of the *Technical Offer Template amendment v1.1 21-02-15 - Annex III to Contract Notice n. 2014/S 241-424518* (hereinafter TOT) provides that:

“In relation to the right of access to documents provided by articles 13 and 79, sub-sections 5-quarter of the Italian Code of public contract, where the information indicated in the technical offer and as justification of the same offer, constitute technical or commercial secrets, the tenderer shall give punctual evidence of such information, with a motivated and proven declaration of access.

In case the tenderer would not produce such declaration, or in case such declaration was not motivated nor proven, AGID will be released from the obligation of notification of any request of access pursuant to article 3 of DPR no. 184/2006. Furthermore, in the absence of such declaration, the technical offer will be considered fully accessible.”

According to Annexes H and I, templates of the financial offer for Lot 2 and 3 of the TR, the offers are irrevocable and binding until the 180° (one hundred eightieth) day after the deadline scheduled for the submission of offers.

2 EVALUATION PROCESS ON PHASE I: PREVIOUS STEPS

The proceeding is being performed according to tender documents, in particular to Paragraph 11.2 and Sections 13, 14 and 15 of the TR, and TOT.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

1. Section 14 of TR, 3rd and 7th indentions, provides that:

"[...] the Administrative Evaluation Committee, during the first open session, will open the received parcels and verify the completeness and regularity of the administrative documentation submitted, and, if not, to the exclusion of the tenderer from the procedure."

The tender opening session took place on 24th, 25th, 27th March 2015, as reported in the related document "**Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Opening Session**" of the 10th April 2015.

The Administrative Committee in the Opening Session establishes that the bid ID 3.2 submitted for Lot 3 by *Mrs Gysela Ebermayer – Minich* is not admitted to the following technical evaluation step.

2. Section 14 of TR, 7th indentions, provides that:

"During the first session, the Administrative Evaluation Committee will open the envelopes containing the technical offers, to verify their formal regularity, and to submit them in a separate envelope sealed in the course of the same meeting to the Technical Evaluation Committee, for the allocation of scores assignment for the awarding purposes."

According to Section 7 of the "*Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Opening Session*", on 10th April 2015 the Administrative Committee resumed its work, checking the integrity of the files contained in CD-ROM or USB supports (containing the technical offers) for each parcel received for the submission of the offers.

Section 6 of the related document, named "**Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Technical Offers Checking Session**", provides that:

"[...] the Administrative Committee gives a mandate to the Lead Contracting Authority to transmit technical offers to the Technical Committees so that they can start the technical evaluation."

3. On 17th April 2015, according to Paragraph 6.2 of the "*Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Opening Session*", and to the provision established in the warning box of the Paragraph 9.4 of TR, the Lead Contracting Authority sent a letter to the bidders to request integrations of missing documentation.
4. On 23th April 2015 the Lead Contracting Authority uploaded the technical offers (in electronic format) for each Lot in the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).
5. On 24th April 2015 the Administrative Committee met to check the integrations sent by the bidders. In the related document "**Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Evaluation of the Required Integrations**" the Administrative Committee recognized that the integrative documentation is complete and fulfills the request for integration and therefore, admitted the interested offers to the following technical evaluation step.
6. Section 14 of TR, 8th indention, provides that:

"The Technical Evaluation Committee will evaluate each technical offer elements [...] and will prepare special reports that will be submitted to the Administrative Evaluation Committee at the end of the evaluation."

The Technical Committees Lot 2 and Lot 3 finished their work on 24th June 2015. The Special Reports – "**Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: Evaluation of the Technical Offers and Final Scores Assignment**" and "**Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: Evaluation of the Technical Offers and Final Scores Assignment**" - have been shared with all members of the Administrative Committee through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

7. On 10th July 2015 the Lead Contracting Authority sent to Tenderers the invitation letters to the public session of the 15th July 2015 for the provisional awarding of Phase I for Lots 2 and 3. The same letters specify an *errata corrigendum* related to the Tender Regulation, Paragraph 15.3 of TR: the wording “*Technical Evaluation Committee*” shall be read “*Administrative Evaluation Committee*”.

3 EVALUATION PROCESS ON PHASE I: FINALISATION

Section 14 of TR, 9th indention, provides that:

“Following the evaluation of the technical offers, AGID will fix, well in advance, upon notice via email or fax, a specific public session in order to communicate the technical scores assigned to each tenderer, to open the envelopes containing the financial offers and to read the prices offered by each tenderer.”

Paragraph 15.3 of the TR provides that:

“The Administrative Evaluation Committee will open the envelope containing the financial offer for those companies who reached, in the technical offer evaluation, the minimum scores provided in Table 3.”

Section 14 of TR, from 10th to 12th indentions, provides that:

“The same session will proceed, then, with the score assignment by applying the formula specified in the following Paragraph 15.3, and by drawing up the tender ranking.

The final awarding of each lot, will be disposed for those tenderers who have submitted the most economically advantageous tender, that is, those who obtained the highest overall scores, for each lot, subsequent to the sum of the assigned total scores, resulting from the technical offer and the financial offer.

In case of offers with equal scores, there will be a random draw in a public session.”

Paragraph 15.5 of the TR provides that:

“The output of the evaluation procedure is the list of offers that have reached the minimum technical score, ordered by score from the higher (rank first) to the lower.

The bidders will be awarded from the first in the list to the last one. Each bidder will be awarded with its offered price.

The list will be scrolled until one of the following situation occurs:

- 1. the maximum number of awarded bidders has been reached*

OR

- 2. the residual amount of available resources for the first phase of the lot is less than the price offered by the Tenderer.”*

Paragraph 11.3 of the TR, 2nd and last indentions, provides that:

“If the company intends to subcontract part of the activities to be awarded, within the maximum limit of 30% of the contractual price, such company, when submitting its offer, shall declare the activities it intends to subcontract and the measure of subcontracting (in accordance with the module referred to in Annex F to this Tender Regulation).”

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

“The declaration of subcontracting, compliant with the indicated conditions, shall be inserted in the envelope containing the financial offer.”.

Summarizing and taking in account the procedure described above, the Administrative Committee will perform the following steps:

1. **acknowledgement of the technical evaluations of the offers by Technical Committees Lot 2 and 3;**
2. **opening envelopes containing financial offers - only for bids reach the minimum scores established for technical criteria - so:**
 - a. **assignment of the scoring at the *Overall Total Value***
 - b. **acknowledgement of the *Estimated Full Price***
 - c. **acknowledgement of the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unit Prices for each cost category***
 - d. **verification of the regularity of the *Annex F - Declaration of subcontracting (if present)***
3. **assignment of the *Final Score*;**
4. **drawing up the *Tender Ranking*.**

4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFERS

Section 14 of TR, 8th indentions, provides that:

“The Technical Evaluation Committee will evaluate each technical offer elements [...] and will prepare special reports that will be submitted to the Administrative Evaluation Committee at the end of the evaluation.”.

Paragraph 15.3 of the TR provides that:

“The Administrative Evaluation Committee will open the envelope containing the financial offer for those companies who reached in the technical offer evaluation, the minimum scores provided in Table 3.”

5 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

According to Paragraph 11.2 the Administrative Committee opens the envelope containing the Financial Offer which shall contain:

- the Overall Total Value (OTV), in Euro, identified for completing *Phase I - Solution Design*. The OTV is the price for the realization of research and development services, in accordance with the documents *Technical Specifications* and *Technical offer*. The *Overall Total Value* shall take in account that part of the Intellectual Property Rights will be retained by the tenderer, in accordance with the provisions of the Draft Framework Agreement. Increased offers compared to the maximum amounts will not be accepted. Maximum amounts are established, for the first phase, as follow:
 - for Lot 2 in € 40.000,00 (forty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]
 - for the Lot 3 in € 30.000,00 (thirty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]partial or conditioned.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

- the Estimated Full Price (EFP); the Estimated Full Price is the price that it would have been quoted if Intellectual Property Rights resulting from the execution of Phase I were fully retained by the Procuring Entity.
- a Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unit Prices for each cost category (BCUP) which contains:
 - the list of R&D resource categories (e.g. junior, senior researchers, developers, testers, etc.) that the tenderer plans to use for the execution of the services in Phase I. The list shall also contain resources for Phases II and III that are not expected to be used in Phase I;
 - the unit price for each resource category;
 - for each resource category, the minimum guaranteed quantity of resources for the execution of the services in Phase I.

The list shall include only the resource categories that are inseparable from and indispensable to deliver the R&D services that are executed through the C4E contract.

In order to evaluate the Financial Offer the Administrative Committee, for each bid, opens the dedicate envelope and proceeds with the following steps:

- a. the assignment of the scoring at the *Overall Total Value*;
- b. the acknowledgement of the *Estimated Full Price*;
- c. the acknowledgement of the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unit Prices for each cost category*.

5.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

Paragraph 15.3 of TR provides that:

“The Technical Evaluation Committee will open the envelope containing the financial offer for those companies who reached in the technical offer evaluation, the minimum scores provided in Table 3.

The score related to the “Financial Offer” criterion will be determined as follows:

- *the maximum price allowed for the Lot is identified as (**Vmax**);*
- *Vo_A is the financial offer related to the Research and development project;*
- *the financial score will be assigned to the financial offer Vo_A according to the following formula:*

$$P_{fin_A} = P_{max_A} * \frac{V_{max} - V_{o_A}}{V_{max}}$$

Where:

- ***Pfin_A** is the score assigned to the financial offer related to the research and development project;*
- ***Pmax_A** is the maximum score to be assigned to the offer (20 points).“*

5.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

In order not to consider a PCP as State aid (according to Section 2.3, article 33, d), second indent, of the *Framework for state aid for research and development and innovation – C(2014) 3282* – hereinafter *2014 State aid framework for R&D&I*), the price paid for the relevant services must fully reflect the market value of the benefits received by the public purchaser and the risks taken by the participating providers.

In order to avoid the State aid, the Lead Contracting Authority, with reference the Annexes H and I, templates of the financial offer for Lot 2 and 3 of the TR, requested tenderers to quote two prices:

- an *Overall Total Value* (OTV);
- an *Estimated Full Price* (EFP), with the *Breakdown into cost categories and unit prices for each cost category* (BCUP).

The Administrative Committee acknowledges the previous two prices and reports them in the Annex 1 of this document.

5.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

According to Annexes H and I, templates of the financial offer for Lot 2 and 3 of the TR, each tenderer must submit two tables:

- one table for Phase I, containing:
 - the list of R&D resource categories (e.g. junior, senior researchers, developers, testers, etc.) that the tenderer plans to use for the execution of the services in Phase I;
 - the description of the unit (hour, day/person, number of...);
 - the unit price for each resource category;
 - for each resource category, the minimum guaranteed quantity of resources for the execution of the of the services in Phase I.
- one table for Phases II and III, containing:
 - the list of R&D resource categories (e.g. junior, senior researchers, developers, testers, etc.) that the tenderer plans to use for the execution of the services in Phase I;
 - the description of the unit (hour, day/person, number of...);
 - the unit price for each resource category.

The Administrative Committee acknowledges the BCUP and reports it in the Annex 2 of this document.

6 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING (IF PRESENT)

According to Paragraph 11.3 of TR and Annex F of TR, the *Declarations of subcontracting* that do not specify exactly which part of the activity the tenderer intends to subcontract, or do not give the quantitative indication required, will not be considered regular.

No authorization to subcontract will be released in case of irregular declaration, or in case the declaration is not present.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

7 EVALUATION OF THE TOTAL OFFER

According to Paragraph 15.4 the “*final score*” is assigned to each offer as follows:

“The final score will be assigned to each offer as follows:

$$P_{tot_A} = P_{tec_A} + P_{fin_A}$$

The calculation for the assignment of all scores will take into account the first two decimals, without rounding”.

8 FINAL SCORE

The Paragraph 15.5 of the TR provides that:

“The output of the evaluation procedure is the list of offers that have reached the minimum technical score, ordered by score from the higher (rank first) to the lower.”.

The bidders will be awarded from the first in the list to the last one. Each bidder will be awarded with its offered price.

The list will be scrolled until one of the following situation occurs:

1. the maximum number of awarded bidders has been reached

OR

2. the residual amount of available resources for the first phase of the lot is less than the price offered by the Tenderer.”.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the member connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luis Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová) come the assent.

PUBLIC SESSION OF THE FINAL EVALUATION ON PHASE 1 FOR LOTS 2 AND 3 – 15TH JULY 2015

9 OPENING OF THE SESSION AND ATTENDANCE REGISTER

On Wednesday 15th July 2015, in the main office of the AgID, Viale Liszt 21, 00144 Rome, the Administrative Committee meets in public session to finalize the evaluation process for awarding of Phase I of the *Cloud for Europe Tender*, for Lots 2 and 3.

The President of the Committee starts the Session at 10.36 CEST. All the members of the Administrative Committee attend the meeting, in presence or through video conferencing tool - as established with the above-mentioned Determinazione 84/2015 and Determinazione 85/2015.

Member	Appointed from Contracting Authority	Country	Role in the Administrative Committee	Presence or video conferencing
Marco Bani	Agency for Digital Italy	Italy	President and Secretary	presence
Radu Boncea	Institutul National de Cercetare-Dezvoltare in Informatica	Romania	Member	video conferencing
Luís Horta	Entidade de Serviços Partilhados da Administração Pública, I.P.	Portugal	Member	video conferencing
Norien Kuiper	Ministerie van Financiën, Directoraat Generaal Belastingdienst	The Kingdom of the Netherlands	Member	video conferencing
Nadežda Nikšová	Ministerstvo Finančí Slovenskej Republiky	Slovakia	Member	video conferencing

For the members connected through video conferencing, the President of the Administrative Committee, collecting the assent or dissent of any component itself on the validity of the documentation submitted by the Bidders, will

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

proceed to sign the submitted documents with the following sentence *"for the member (s) (name and surname) connected through video conferencing, came the assent/dissent"*.

The following persons attend the meeting, with the function of Technical Support to the Administrative Committee:

Name	Company	Role
Mauro Draoli	Agency for Digital Italy	Technical Support Team
Cristian Campagna	Ernst &Young Financial Business Advisors SPA	Technical Support Team
Gabriele Scalera	Ernst &Young Financial Business Advisors SPA	Technical Support Team

Being a session open to the public a person in charge of each competitor may attend, according to Section 14 of the TR. The following persons, identified through the exhibition of an original identification document or the written request, attend the meeting, in presence or in video conferencing:

Name	Company	Presence or video conferencing
Fausto Bianchi	NEC Italia SPA	presence
Giovanni De Paola	IBM Italia SPA	presence
Daniele Di Martino	Hewlett-Packard	presence
Valentino Di Toma	NTT Data Italia SPA	presence
Munir Ismet	Hewlett-Packard	presence
Francesca Lanternari	Hewlett-Packard	presence
Antonio Mario Natale Pernice	Sielte SPA	presence
Maurice Van Der Woude	BPdelivery BV	video conferencing

10 ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

The President takes in account that the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee for Lot 1 has been put at disposal of the members of the Administrative Committee through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The Administrative Committee establishes to use of a secure photo tool to speed up the recording of the documents and the sharing in real time of the photos between the members of the Administrative Committee, through the same secure on line platform used for sharing the Special Reports.

For the purposes of this Session the Administrative Committee is supported by the Technical Support Team.

11 EVALUATIONS PER BID – LOT 3

11.1 BID 3.1 – BP DELIVERY BV

11.1.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 3.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (*Ptec_A*) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 3 to bid ID 3.1 submitted by *BP DELIVERY BV*, according to the document "*Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment*".

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

3.1 - BPdelivery BV					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	6,52	NO
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,26	5	1,30	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,33	2	0,66	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,33	4	1,32	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,36	9	3,24	
I	Impact		25	11,83	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplier effects	0,60	7	4,20	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,56	7	3,92	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,33	5	1,65	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,43	2	0,86	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,30	4	1,20	
S	Security		13	6,64	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,13	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,40	5	2,00	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,66	4	2,64	
S4	System management security	0,16	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,50	4	2,00	
Q	Quality		22	10,43	NO
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,53	9	4,77	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,46	5	2,30	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,33	2	0,66	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,33	2	0,66	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,16	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,46	2	0,92	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,56	2	1,12	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,16	0	0,00	
				35,42	
				Ptec_A=Σ(Bi*Qi)	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani
President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Legend
Bi = average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi = weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
Ptec_A = score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .

The final result, as drafted in the "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment", is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
3.1	BPdelivery BV	35,42	It does not reach the minimum score provided for all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality. It only reaches the minimum score for Security.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 3, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL NOT OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 3.1 submitted by **BP DELIVERY BV**.

11.2 BID 3.3 – SIELTE SPA'S TGO

11.2.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 3.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (*Ptec_A*) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 3 to bid ID 3.3 submitted by *SIELTE SPA'S TGO*, according to the document "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment":

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

3.3 - Siete SPA's TGO					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	10,52	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,70	5	3,50	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,60	2	1,20	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,33	4	1,32	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,50	9	4,50	
I	Impact		25	14,62	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplier effects	0,63	7	4,41	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,53	7	3,71	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,66	5	3,30	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,60	2	1,20	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,50	4	2,00	
S	Security		13	7,72	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,16	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,56	5	2,80	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,63	4	2,52	
S4	System management security	0,16	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,60	4	2,40	
Q	Quality		22	13,75	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,70	9	6,30	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,63	5	3,15	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,56	2	1,12	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,63	2	1,26	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,16	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,40	2	0,80	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,56	2	1,12	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,16	0	0,00	
				46,61	
				Ptec_A=Σ(Bi*Qi)	

Legend	
Bi	= average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi	= weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
PTec_A	= score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .	

The final result, as drafted in the "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment", is reported below:

06/08/2015

Marco Bani
President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
3.3	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO) between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sielte SPA - Università degli Studi di Bari - Università degli Studi di Catania - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR) - Fondazione Centro Europeo di Formazione, Ricerca, Ingegneria Sismica (EUCENTRE) - Etna Hitech SCPA - Cooperativa EDP La Traccia - ITSLAB SRL 	46,61	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 3, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee WILL OPEN the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 3.3 submitted by **SIELTE SPA'S TGO**.

11.2.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads two prices submitted *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP) and the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unite Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for the Lot 3 in € 30.000,00 (thirty thousand/00) [excluding VAT].

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform <https://cowork.agid.gov.it>.

11.2.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=V_{o_A}$) by **SIELTE SPA'S TGO**. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 3.3.

Bidder	V_{o_A}	V_{max}	P_{max_A}	P_{fin_A} = $P_{max_A} * (V_{max} - V_{o_A}) / V_{max}$
3.3 - Sielte SPA's TGO	€ 26.000	€ 30.000	20	2,67

11.2.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

11.2.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

11.2.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted one Annex F to declare, in case of awarding:

- that the single operators will not subcontract any of activities covered by Tender Regulation;
- that the TGO intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declaration.

11.3 BID 3.4 – NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO

11.3.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 3.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (*Ptec_A*) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 3 to bid ID 3.4 submitted by *NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO*, according to the document "*Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment*".

3.4 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	14,41	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,73	5	3,65	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,56	2	1,12	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,70	4	2,80	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,76	9	6,84	
I	Impact		25	18,15	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplier effects	0,76	7	5,32	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,73	7	5,11	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,80	5	4,00	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,60	2	1,20	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,63	4	2,52	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

S		Security		13	8,97	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,16	0	0,00		
S2	Risk analysis	0,73	5	3,65		
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,73	4	2,92		
S4	System management security	0,16	0	0,00		
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,60	4	2,40		
Q		Quality		22	14,52	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,56	9	5,04		
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,70	5	3,50		
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,80	2	1,60		
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,76	2	1,52		
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,16	0	0,00		
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,70	2	1,40		
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,73	2	1,46		
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00		
				56,05		
				Ptec_A = $\sum(Bi \cdot Qi)$		

Legend	
Bi	= average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi	= weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
Ptec_A	= score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .	

The final result, as drafted in the "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment", is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
3.4	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO) between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NTT Data Italia SPA - Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca in Storia del Diritto, Filosofia e Sociologia del Diritto e Informatica Giuridica dell'Università di Bologna (CIRSFID) - Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata 	56,05	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 3, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 3.4 submitted by **NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO**.

11.3.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads two prices submitted *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP) and the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unit Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for the Lot 3 in € 30.000,00 (thirty thousand/00) [excluding VAT].

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

11.3.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=V_{o_A}$) by *NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO*. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 3.4.

Bidder	V_{o_A}	V_{max}	P_{max_A}	P_{fin_A} = $P_{max_A} * (V_{max} - V_{o_A}) / V_{max}$
3.4 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO	€ 28.500	€ 30.000	20	1,00

11.3.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

11.3.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

11.3.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted three Annexes F, one for each company of TGO:

- *NTT DATA*, as a single operator, declares that, in case of awarding, intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document;
- *CIRSFID*, as a single operator, declares that, in case of awarding, intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document;
- *UNIVERSITÀ DI TOR VERGATA*, as the single operator, declares that, in case of awarding, will not subcontract any of activities covered by Tender Regulation;
- all declare that, in case of awarding, the TGO intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declaration.

12 EVALUATION OF THE TOTAL OFFER – LOT 3

The President asks Technical Support to calculate the Final Score (P_{tot_A}), on the basis of previous technical and financial scores and according to formula in Section 7 of this document. The following table contains the total evaluation of the offers related to Lot 3:

Lot 3			
Bidder ID	Pfin_A	Ptec_A	P_{tot_A} = P_{tec_A} + P_{fin_A}
3.3 - Sielte SPA's TGO	2,67	46,61	49,27
3.4 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO	1,00	56,05	57,05

13 FINAL CLASSIFICATION – LOT 3

The President asks Technical Support to draw up the Tender Ranking, on the basis of final scores calculated in the previous section and according to the procedure established in Paragraph 15.5, 1st indent, of TR.

The table below contains the Tender Ranking to Phase I for Lot 3.

Lot 3		
Ranking	Bidder	P_{tot_A} (from highest to lowest)
1 st	3.4 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO	57,05
2 nd	3.3 - Sielte SPA's TGO	49,27

According to Paragraph 15.5, 3th indent of TR, the contract can be awarded to the two Bidders listed in the previous table at their offered price.

The Administrative Committee shows the results of the final evaluation to the attendees.

After the end of evaluation per Lot 3, the participant connected through video conferencing representing of BPdelivery BV company, Maurice Van Der Woude, leaves the meeting.

14 EVALUATION PER BID – LOT 2

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

14.1 BID 2.1 – CYBERNETICA AS

14.1.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (P_{tec_A}) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.1 submitted by CYBERNETICA AS, according to the document "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment":

2.1 - Cybernetica AS					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	9,44	NO
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,46	5	2,30	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,40	2	0,80	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,46	4	1,84	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,50	9	4,50	
I	Impact		25	12,34	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplicator effects	0,50	7	3,50	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,50	7	3,50	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,46	5	2,30	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,60	2	1,20	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,46	4	1,84	
S	Security		13	5,30	NO
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,00	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,50	5	2,50	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,50	4	2,00	
S4	System management security	0,00	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,20	4	0,80	
Q	Quality		22	12,41	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,63	9	5,67	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,46	5	2,30	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,53	2	1,06	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,50	2	1,00	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,66	2	1,32	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,53	2	1,06	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00	
				39,49	
				$P_{tec_A} = \frac{\sum(Bi*Qi)}{\sum Qi}$	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani
President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Legend
Bi = average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi = weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
Ptec_A = score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .

The final result, as drafted in the “Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment”, is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.1	Cybernetica AS	39,49	It does not reach the minimum score provided for all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality. It only reaches the minimum score for Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL NOT OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.1 submitted by **CYBERNETICA AS**.

14.2 BID 2.2 – INTERWAY SRO'S TGO

14.2.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (*Ptec_A*) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.2 submitted by *INTERWAY SRO'S TGO*, according to the document “*Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment*”:

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

2.2 - Interway's TGO					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score rached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	16,48	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,83	5	4,15	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,83	2	1,66	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,80	4	3,20	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,83	9	7,47	
I	Impact		25	19,24	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplicator effects	0,76	7	5,32	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,73	7	5,11	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,83	5	4,15	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,73	2	1,46	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,80	4	3,20	
S	Security		13	10,67	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,00	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,83	5	4,15	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,83	4	3,32	
S4	System management security	0,00	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,80	4	3,20	
Q	Quality		22	16,34	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,76	9	6,84	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,76	5	3,80	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,66	2	1,32	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,73	2	1,46	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,73	2	1,46	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,73	2	1,46	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00	
				62,73	
				Ptec_A = ∑(Bi*Qi)	

Legend

Bi = average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee

Qi = weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation

Ptec_A = score assigned to the technical offer.

If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in **RED** then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is **NOT** eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn **RED**. If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be **GREEN**. Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be **GREEN**.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The final result, as drafted in the “Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment”, is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.2	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO) between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interway SRO - Teamnet International SA - Liberologico SRL - Università degli Studi di Messina 	62,73	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.2 submitted by **INTERWAY SRO'S TGO**.

14.2.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads the two prices submitted: *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP), and then reads the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unite Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for Lot 2 in € 40.000,00 (forty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

14.2.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=Vo_A$) by **INTERWAY SRO'S TGO**. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 2.2.

Bidder	Vo_A	Vmax	Pmax_A	P_{fin_A} $=P_{max_A} * (V_{max} - Vo_A) / V_{max}$
2.2 - Interway SRO's TGO	€ 39.900	€ 40.000	20	0,05

14.2.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

14.2.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

14.2.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted four Annexes F, one for each company of TGO, to declare that, in case of awarding, neither single operators nor TGO intend to subcontract any of activities covered by Tender Regulation.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declaration.

14.3 BID 2.3 – SIELTE SPA'S TGO

14.3.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (P_{tec_A}) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.3 submitted by SIELTE SPA'S TGO, according to the document "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment".

2.3 - Sielte's TGO					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	12,44	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,66	5	3,30	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,60	2	1,20	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,50	4	2,00	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,66	9	5,94	
I	Impact		25	16,63	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplier effects	0,66	7	4,62	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,66	7	4,62	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,63	5	3,15	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,66	2	1,32	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,73	4	2,92	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

S	Security		13	8,07	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,00	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,63	5	3,15	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,63	4	2,52	
S4	System management security	0,00	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,60	4	2,40	
Q	Quality		22	15,10	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,70	9	6,30	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,70	5	3,50	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,60	2	1,20	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,73	2	1,46	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,66	2	1,32	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,66	2	1,32	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00	
				52,24	
				Ptec_A=Σ(Bi*Qi)	

Legend	
Bi	= average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi	= weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
Ptec_A	= score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .	

The final result, as drafted in the "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment", is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.3	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO) between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sielte SPA - Università degli Studi di Bari - Università degli Studi di Catania - Fondazione Centro Europeo di Formazione, Ricerca, Ingegneria Sismica (EUCENTRE) - Etna Hitech SCPA - Cooperativa EDP La Traccia - ITSLAB SRL 	52,24	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.3 submitted by **SIELTE SPA'S TGO**.

14.3.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads two prices submitted *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP) and the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unit Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for Lot 2 in € 40.000,00 (forty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

14.3.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=Vo_A$) by **SIELTE SPA'S TGO**. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 2.3.

Bidder	Vo_A	Vmax	Pmax_A	P_{fin_A} = $P_{max_A} * (V_{max} - Vo_A) / V_{max}$
2.3 - Sielte SPA's TGO	€ 35.000	€ 40.000	20	2,50

14.3.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

14.3.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

14.3.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted one Annex F to declare, in case of awarding:

- that the single operators will not subcontract any of activities covered by Tender Regulation;
- that the TGO intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declaration.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

14.4 BID 2.4 – NEC ITALIA SPA

14.4.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (P_{tec_A}) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.3 submitted by *NEC ITALIA SPA*, according to the document "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment":

2.4 - NEC Italia SPA					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	10,25	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,43	5	2,15	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,43	2	0,86	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,46	4	1,84	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,60	9	5,40	
I	Impact		25	15,08	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplicator effects	0,63	7	4,41	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,63	7	4,41	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,56	5	2,80	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,53	2	1,06	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,60	4	2,40	
S	Security		13	6,46	NO
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,00	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,50	5	2,50	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,46	4	1,84	
S4	System management security	0,00	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,53	4	2,12	
Q	Quality		22	12,34	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,50	9	4,50	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,60	5	3,00	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,66	2	1,32	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,53	2	1,06	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,60	2	1,20	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,63	2	1,26	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00	
				44,13	
				$P_{tec_A} = \Sigma$	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani
President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

				Bi*Qi)	
--	--	--	--	--------	--

Legend
Bi = average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi = weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
Ptec_A = score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .

The final result, as drafted in the “Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment”, is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.4	NEC Italia SPA	44,13	It does not reach the minimum score provided for all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality. It only reaches the minimum score for Challenge and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL NOT OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.4 submitted by **NEC ITALIA SPA**.

14.5 BID 2.5 – HEWLETT PACKARD ITALIANA SRL’S TGO

14.5.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (*Ptec_A*) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.5 submitted by *HEWLETT PACKARD ITALIANA SRL’S TGO*, according to the document “*Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment*”:

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

2.5 - Hewlett Packard's TGO					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score rached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	14,42	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,73	5	3,65	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,70	2	1,40	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,70	4	2,80	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,73	9	6,57	
I	Impact		25	18,76	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplicator effects	0,76	7	5,32	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,76	7	5,32	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,80	5	4,00	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,66	2	1,32	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,70	4	2,80	
S	Security		13	9,04	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,00	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,80	5	4,00	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,63	4	2,52	
S4	System management security	0,00	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,63	4	2,52	
Q	Quality		22	14,62	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,66	9	5,94	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,70	5	3,50	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,60	2	1,20	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,53	2	1,06	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,66	2	1,32	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,80	2	1,60	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00	
				56,84	
				Ptec_A=Σ(Bi*Qi)	

Legend

Bi = average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee

Qi = weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation

Ptec_A = score assigned to the technical offer.

If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in **RED** then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is **NOT** eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn **RED**. If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be **GREEN**. Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be **GREEN**.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The final result, as drafted in the “Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment”, is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.5	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO) between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hewlett Packard Italiana SRL - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR) 	56,84	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.5 submitted by **HEWLETT PACKARD ITALIANA SRL'S TGO**.

14.5.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads two prices submitted *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP) and the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unite Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for Lot 2 in € 40.000,00 (forty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

14.5.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=Vo_A$) by **HEWLETT PACKARD ITALIANA SRL'S TGO**. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 2.5.

Bidder	Vo_A	Vmax	Pmax_A	P_{fin_A} $=P_{max_A} \cdot (V_{max} - V_{o_A}) / V_{max}$
2.5 - Hewlett Packard Italiana SRL's TGO	€ 40.000	€ 40.000	20	0,00

14.5.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

14.5.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

14.5.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted one Annex F, also in digital version, to declare it have the subcontracting. The Administrative Committee checked its regularity, in particular the presence the signature required and the ID document copy attachments.

The Bidder submitted one Annex F, also in digital version, to declare, in case of awarding:

- that the single operators will not subcontract any of activities covered by Tender Regulation;
- that the TGO intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declaration.

14.6 BID 2.6 – IBM ITALIA SPA

14.6.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (*Ptec_A*) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.6 submitted by *IBM ITALIA SPA*, according to the document "*Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment*":

2.6 - IBM Italia SPA					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	14,26	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,66	5	3,30	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,66	2	1,32	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,70	4	2,80	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,76	9	6,84	
I	Impact		25	16,76	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplicator effects	0,76	7	5,32	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,73	7	5,11	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,63	5	3,15	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,73	2	1,46	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,43	4	1,72	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

S		Security			13	10,24	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,26	0		0,00		
S2	Risk analysis	0,80	5		4,00		
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,80	4		3,20		
S4	System management security	0,00	0		0,00		
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,76	4		3,04		
Q		Quality			22	16,18	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,66	9		5,94		
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,80	5		4,00		
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,83	2		1,66		
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,73	2		1,46		
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0		0,00		
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,73	2		1,46		
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,83	2		1,66		
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0		0,00		
					57,44		
					Ptec_A=Σ(Bi*Qi)		

Legend	
Bi	= average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi	= weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
Ptec_A	= score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .	

The final result, as drafted in the "Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment", is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.6	IBM Italia SPA	57,44	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee WILL OPEN the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.6 submitted by **IBM ITALIA SPA**.

14.6.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads two prices submitted *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP) and the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unit Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for Lot 2 in € 40.000,00 (forty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

14.6.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=Vo_A$) by *IBM ITALIA SPA*. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 2.6.

Bidder	Vo_A	Vmax	Pmax_A	P_{fin_A} = $P_{max_A} * (V_{max} - Vo_A) / V_{max}$
2.6 - IBM Italia SPA	€ 36.000	€ 40.000	20	2,00

14.6.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

14.6.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

14.6.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted one Annex F to declare, in case of awarding, that it intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document, per Phase I and Phases II-III.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declaration.

14.7 BID 2.7 – NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO

14.7.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL OFFER

The President verifies that all members of the Administrative Committee have inspected the Special Report drafted by Technical Committee Lot 2.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

The table below reports the results of the technical score (P_{tec_A}) assigned by Technical Committee Lot 2 to bid ID 2.7 submitted by *NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO*, according to the document "*Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment*":

2.7 - NTT Data's TGO					
Criterion	Criterion short description	Bi	Qi (Phase I)	Bi*Qi	Minimum score reached (>50% of the Qi of C)
C	Challenge		20	16,15	YES
C1	Likelihood to meet the main challenges for the lot	0,83	5	4,15	
C2	Overall contribution to realize the Usage scenario described in Section 1.4 of the "Annex IV(A): Challenges and general requirements	0,80	2	1,60	
C3	Degree of fulfilment of the challenge	0,80	4	3,20	
C4	Innovation level (motivation by bidders)	0,80	9	7,20	
I	Impact		25	18,59	
I1	Impact on (digital) society, multiplier effects	0,80	7	5,60	
I2	Impact on government cloud adoption	0,66	7	4,62	
I3	Public sector service impact	0,73	5	3,65	
I4	Commercialisation and exploitation plan of IPR	0,76	2	1,52	
I5	Proposed sharing of risk and benefits	0,80	4	3,20	
S	Security		13	9,92	YES
S1	Risk assessment impact (C, I, A dimensions)	0,00	0	0,00	
S2	Risk analysis	0,76	5	3,80	
S3	Risk mitigation measures	0,80	4	3,20	
S4	System management security	0,00	0	0,00	
S5	Operational security, proposed certifications	0,73	4	2,92	
Q	Quality		22	14,65	YES
Q1	Portability, applicability in different architectures	0,63	9	5,67	
Q2	Bid document quality, focus on challenge and solution	0,60	5	3,00	
Q3	Quality of project plan, resources allocation, acceptance plan	0,80	2	1,60	
Q4	Alignment with EU standards and legislation	0,70	2	1,40	
Q5	Resilience and target service SLA	0,00	0	0,00	
Q6	Completeness of the proposed prototypes and pilot	0,76	2	1,52	
Q7	Early/continuous quality assurance	0,73	2	1,46	
Q8	Performance and end-user experience	0,00	0	0,00	
				59,31	
				$P_{tec_A} = \sum (Bi * Qi)$	

06/08/2015

Marco Bani
President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Legend	
Bi	= average of the coefficient between 0 and 1, assigned by the members of the Technical Committee
Qi	= weight (single weight) assigned to each sub-criterion in the Tender Regulation
PTec_A	= score assigned to the technical offer.
If one of the calculated scores for C, S or Q are in RED then the minimum score of that Criterion is not met. In that case the bid is NOT eligible for the award of the framework contract! In that case the cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will turn RED . If all 3 minimum scores are met, the cells will be GREEN . Cell with total calculated score (Ptec_A) will also be GREEN .	

The final result, as drafted in the “Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: evaluation of the technical offers and final scores assignment”, is reported below:

Bid ID	Bidder	Ptec_A	Final Judgment
2.7	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO) between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NTT Data Italia SPA - Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca in Storia del Diritto, Filosofia e Sociologia del Diritto e Informatica Giuridica dell'Università di Bologna (CIRSFID) - Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata 	59,31	It reaches the minimum scores related to all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality.

Therefore the President, according to Paragraph 15.3 of TR, taking in account the final judgment of the Technical Committee Lot 2, and come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), establishes that the Administrative Committee **WILL OPEN** the envelope containing the financial offer of the bid ID 2.7 submitted by **NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO**.

14.7.2 EVALUATION OF THE FINANCIAL OFFER

The President verifies the integrity of the envelope containing the Financial Offer, opens it and publicly reads two prices submitted *Overall Total Value* (OTV) and *Estimated Full Price* (EFP) and the *Breakdown into Cost Categories and Unite Price* (BCUP).

The President verifies:

- that the amount indicated - in both cases - is the same in numbers and in words;
- that the OTV submitted does not exceed the maximum amount which is established, for the Phase I, for Lot 2 in € 40.000,00 (forty thousand/00) [excluding VAT]

At the same time the Technical Support records these values on dedicated tables sheet and proceeds to photograph the documents and to sharing with the members of the Administrative Committee, through the dedicated secure online AgID's platform (<https://cowork.agid.gov.it>).

14.7.2.1 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL TOTAL VALUE (OTV)

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

After completing the opening, President asks Technical Support to calculate the score (P_{fin_A}) of the price offered ($OTV=Vo_A$) by *NTT DATA ITALIA SPA'S TGO*. The following table contains the Financial Score related to the price offered for bid ID 2.7.

Bidder	Vo_A	Vmax	Pmax_A	P_{fin_A} = $P_{max_A} * (V_{max} - Vo_A) / V_{max}$
2.7 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO	€ 38.000	€ 40.000	20	1,00

14.7.2.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ESTIMATED FULL PRICE (EFP)

The table with EFP and OTV is reported in Annex 1 of this document.

14.7.2.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

The two tables for each bid are reported in Annex 2 of this document.

14.7.3 VERIFICATION OF THE REGULARITY OF THE ANNEX F – DECLARATION OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Bidder submitted three Annexes F, one for each company of TGO:

- *NTT DATA*, as a single operator, declares that, in case of awarding, intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document;
- *CIRSFID*, as a single operator, declares that, in case of awarding, intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document;
- *UNIVERSITÀ DI TOR VERGATA*, as the single operator, declares that, in case of awarding, will not subcontract any of activities covered by Tender Regulation;
- all declare that, in case of awarding, the TGO intends to subcontract, within the limits provided by article 118 of Code of public contract and further amendments, the activities listed in the same document.

The Administrative Committee checked the regularity of the submitted declarations.

15 EVALUATION OF THE TOTAL OFFER – LOT 2

The President asks Technical Support to calculate the Final Score (P_{tot_A}), on the basis of technical and financial scores and according to formula in Section 7 of this document. The following table contains the evaluations of total offers for Lot 2:

Lot 2			
Bidder ID	P_{fin_A}	P_{tec_A}	P_{tot_A} = $P_{tec_A} + P_{fin_A}$

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

2.2 - Interway SRO's TGO	0,05	62,73	62,78
2.3 - Sielte SPA's TGO	2,50	52,24	54,74
2.5 - Hewlett Packard Italiana SRL's TGO	0,00	56,84	56,84
2.6 - IBM Italia SPA	2,00	57,44	59,44
2.7 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO	1,00	59,31	60,31

16 FINAL CASSIFICATION – LOT 2

The President asks Technical Support to draw up the Tender Ranking, on the basis of final scores calculated in the previous sections, and applying the procedure described in Paragraph 15.5, 1st indent, of TR.

The table below contains the Tender Ranking to Phase I for Lot 2.

Lot 2		
Ranking	Bidder	Ptot_A (from highest to lowest)
1 st	2.2 - Interway SRO's TGO	62,78
2 nd	2.7 - NTT Data Italia SPA's TGO	60,31
3 rd	2.6 - IBM Italia SPA	59,44
4 th	2.5 - Hewlett Packard Italiana SRL's TGO	56,84
5 th	2.3 - Sielte SPA's TGO	54,74

According to Paragraph 15.5, 3th indent of TR, the contract can be awarded to the five Bidders listed in the previous table at their offered price.

The Administrative Committee shows the results of the final evaluation to the attendees.

17 PROVISIONAL AWARDING, EXCLUSIONS AND CONCLUSION OF THE SESSION

The President, taking in account the whole process of evaluation, and according to the following documents of evaluation:

- *"Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Opening Session"*,
- *"Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Technical Offers Checking Session"*,
- *"Minutes of the Administrative Committee: Evaluation of the Required Integrations"*,
- *"Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 2: Evaluation of the Technical Offers and Final Scores Assignment"*,
- *"Special Report of the Technical Committee Lot 3: Evaluation of the Technical Offers and Final Scores Assignment"*,

come the assent of the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), declares:

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

- a. to **provisionally award the framework contract** related to Lot 2 “Secure, Legislation – Aware Storage (SLAS)” of the tender “REALIZATION OF A RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (PRE-COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT) ON “CLOUD FOR EUROPE” and the execution of Phase I at their offered price to the bidders listed in the following:

Lot 2			
Ranking	ID	Bidder	Ptot_A (from highest to lowest)
1 st	2.2	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO): - Interway SRO - Teamnet International SA - Liberologico SRL - Università degli Studi di Messina	62,78
2 nd	2.7	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO): - NTT Data Italia SPA - Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca in Storia del Diritto, Filosofia e Sociologia del Diritto e Informatica Giuridica dell'Università di Bologna (CIRSFID) - Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata	60,31
3 rd	2.6	IBM Italia SPA	59,44
4 th	2.5	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO): - Hewlett Packard Italiana SRL - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR)	56,84
5 th	2.3	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO): - Sielte SPA - Università degli Studi di Bari - Università degli Studi di Catania - Fondazione Centro Europeo di Formazione, Ricerca, Ingegneria Sismica (EUCENTRE) - Etna Hitech SCPA - Cooperativa EDP La Traccia - ITSLAB SRL	54,74

- b. that the **Bidders listed in the following table are not eligible** for the award of the Framework contract Lot 2 “Secure, Legislation – Aware Storage (SLAS)” of the tender “REALIZATION OF A RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (PRE-COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT) ON “CLOUD FOR EUROPE”:

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Lot 2		
ID	Bidder	Motivation of the exclusion
2.1	Cybernetica AS	it does not reach the minimum score provided for all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality. It reaches the minimum score only for Quality.
2.4	NEC Italia SPA	it does not reach the minimum score provided for all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality. It reaches the minimum score only for Challenge and Quality.

- c. to **provisionally award the framework contract** related to Lot 3 “*Legislation Execution (LE)*” and the execution of Phase I at their offered price to the bidders listed in the following:

Lot 3			
Ranking	ID	Bidder	Ptot_A (from highest to lowest)
1 st	3.4	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NTT Data Italia SPA - Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca in Storia del Diritto, Filosofia e Sociologia del Diritto e Informatica Giuridica dell'Università di Bologna (CIRSFID) - Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata 	57,05
2 nd	3.3	Temporary Grouping of Operators (TGO): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sielte SPA - Università degli Studi di Bari - Università degli Studi di Catania - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR) - Fondazione Centro Europeo di Formazione, Ricerca, Ingegneria Sismica (EUCENTRE) - Etna Hitech SCPA - Cooperativa EDP La Traccia - ITSLAB SRL 	49,27

- d. that the **Bidders listed in the following table are not eligible** for the award of the Framework contract Lot 3 “*Legislation Execution (LE)*” of the tender “REALIZATION OF A RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (PRE-COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT) ON “CLOUD FOR EUROPE”:

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

Lot 3		
ID	Bidder	Motivation of the exclusion
3.1	Mrs Gysela Ebermayer – Minich	The documentation required is not complete (not present: annex A, annex B, annex C.1, annex C.2, annex D, annex E, Financial Offer, Technical Offer).
3.2	BPdelivery BV	It does not reach the minimum score provided for all three criteria: Challenge, Security and Quality. It only reaches the minimum score for Security.

The session ends at 12.11 CEST.

In order to ensure the fulfilment of the *Cloud for Europe Project* and the administrative proceedings, the present minutes are transmitted to the Procurers Steering Committee and to the General Director of AgID.

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.

ANNEX 1 – ESTIMED FULL PRICE (EFP)

Omissis ...

ANNEX 2 - BREAKDOWN INTO COST CATEGORIES AND UNIT PRICES (BCUP)

Omissis

06/08/2015

Marco Bani

President and Secretary of the Administrative Committee

For the members connected through video conferencing (Radu Boncea, Luís Horta, Norien Kuiper, Nadežda Nikšová), come the assent.
